Reforms in Slovakia: How to Make them Happen?

Eugen Jurzyca, INEKO 16.5.2005 www.ineko.sk

Economic and Social Trends Before and After 2000

1997: Slovakia was not invited to
 NATO (Madrid Summit)
 EU (Luxemburg Summit)
 IMF, WB, OECD Reports

Economic and Social Trends Before and After 2000

Worsening corruption, economic freedom, human development before 2002

Improvements after 2000

Economic and Social Trends Before and After 2000

- In2002 Slovakia was invited to
 NATO (Prague Summit)
 EU (Council of Europe Meeting)
- World Bank Doing Business in 2005

 "Slovakia was the leading reformer".
 "Lithuania and Slovakia broke into the list of the 20 economies with the best business conditions as measured in this year's report".

Sources of Improvement

Well known issues: bad starting point, size of the country, geographical location, harmonisation to EU standards etc.

Role of the national governments in the EU

World Economic Forum: The best and the worst performers (out of 104)

Parameter	Rank of the best EU members	Rank of the worst EU members
Transparency of government policymaking	3, 4, 5	101, 83, 78
Quality of the educational system	1, 4, 5	73, 56, 52
Ease of hiring foreign labor	4, 9, 10	100, 98, 96
Efficiency of legal framework	1, 3, 5	79, 71, 68
Property rights	1, 2, 6	78, 71, 57
Intellectual property protection	1, 2, 4	79, 72, 61
Foreign ownership restrictions	2, 3, 4	95, 94, 88

Syndrome of learned passivity proved to be wrong

The role of "mediators"

- Businesses provide consumers with goods and services because they are motivated by profits
- Motivation of politicians to prepare and implement good reforms is popularity among voters. The system fails because of the information bias – the reforms are often too complicated to be understood by general public
- Therefore, mediators between the government and general public are needed
- In Slovakia, the role has been played by some journalists, NGOs, business associations.
- Example: HESO, BAS

The role of the national government and "mediators"



Source: INEKO, 2004

Populism versus Truth



Populism versus Truth



Populism versus Truth

Majority of Slovak citizens (voters) think that education and health care should be paid neither directly nor through taxes. What choices the politicians faced?

Truth or populism

Everybody loved somebody sometimes

- Early winners after supporting reforms become often opponents of the next steps (privatization bankruptcies)
- But evolution can not be stopped
- There is a time when early winners should support the reform process in their own interest (join the early losers)
- Early winners should understand the reform process in their own interest

Redistribution versus solidarity

- Old social system: Redistribution from rich, healthy and strong to poor, sick and weak. Or vice versa? That (chaos) lead to the growth of the group of rent-seekers
- Conscious fight against rent-seekers
 - stronger contribution-benefit links
 - □ better controlling mechanisms
- Only after rent-seekers lost decisive power start finding optimal level of solidarity (transfer from strong and healthy to weak and sick)

Other Sources of Improvement

Administration needed creativity

- □ small groups at ministries
- Foreign investors- the best pro Slovak lobby
- Successful reforms started right after elections
- Foreign trade liberalisation increases chances for good reforms
 - Country can hardly export goods produced by firms paying too high taxes, contributions
 - Closed economy (not exposed to competition in the product markets) lacks information about the efficiency of its social systems