





Methodology for the Independence Index of key public institutions in Moldova – List of institutions and indicators to be monitored

Viorel Pirvan, IDIS "Viitorul", Moldova Michal Piško, INEKO external expert, Slovakia

November 2019

Project

The methodology was created within the initiative "Supporting democracy, independence and transparency of key public institutions in Moldova", implemented by IDIS "Viitorul", in partnership with the Institute for Economic and Social Reforms in Slovakia (INEKO). The initiative is implemented during October 2019 – March 2021 and it is financially supported by the Official Development Assistance of the Slovak Republic (SlovakAid). It aims to support public debate about current state of democracy in Moldova, to strengthen the independence of key regulatory and judiciary institutions, and to increase transparency and support implementation of anti-corruption measures in state-owned companies and local governments in Moldova.

Objective

Supporting public debate about degree of independence of key public institutions in Moldova and introduction of recommendations to increase their independence based on related Independence Index.

Activities

 October 2019 – January 2020: Preparation of research on independence of key public institutions. By November 2019, writing and publishing an electronic document of at least 5 pages with a list of institutions and indicators to be evaluated including sources of information. By January 2020, writing and publishing an electronic document of at least 5 pages describing methodology of evaluation including ways of data collection, calculation of indices and timing.







- 2. January 2020 September 2020: Realization of research on independence of at least 15 key public institutions: collection and processing of data for at least 10 indicators, consultations with at least 10 experts, evaluation of independence. Writing a draft document summarizing research results.
- 3. July 2020 October 2020: Writing and publishing an electronic Report on independence of key public institutions in Moldova; document of at least 25 pages summarizing research results including the ranking of independence of evaluated institutions and at least 20 recommendations to increase their independence. Informing media via press report, the goal is to have at least 20 media releases.
- 4. **October 2020: Organizing a conference in Chisinau** with at least 50 participants informing about a Report on independence of key public institutions in Moldova as well as about results of financial health evaluation of local governments (municipalities and regions).

Timeline

Activity/Month	10 19	11 19	12 19	1 20	2 20	3 20	4 20	5 20	6 20	7 20	8 20	9 20	10 20	11 20	12 20	1 21	2 21	3 21	Responsible
2.1. Research on institutions and indicators for evaluation of their	X	X																	INEKO+IDIS
independence 2.2. Development of methodology	Х	Х	Х	Х															INEKO+IDIS
for evaluation of institutions																			
2.3. Collection and processing of data, consultations with experts and summarizing research results				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X							INEKO+IDIS
2.4. Writing Report on independence of key public institutions										X	X	X	X						INEKO+IDIS
2.5. Publishing of Report on independence of key public institutions													Х						IDIS
	10 19	11 19	12 19	1 20	2 20	3 20	4 20	5 20	6 20	7 20	8 20	9 20	10 20	11 20	12 20	1 21	2 21	3 21	







Methodology description

Independent and professional public institutions, protecting citizens from abuse of power by politicians and influential economic groups, are crucial for properly functioning democracy and reducing the level of corruption. These include regulatory and supervisory authorities, the central bank, police, prosecution or judicial authorities. However, especially in young democracies, there is a high risk of "State capture" through these institutions. The main objective of this project is to analyze the degree of independence of these institutions from government and to present recommendations for increasing their independence. The methodology is based on a similar project, which has been successfully implemented in Slovakia. The evaluation of independence will focus on criteria such as sovereignty in the decision-making, financial independence, dismissals and selection procedures of the governing bodies in these institutions. The lists of criteria, related points and evaluated institutions are only indicative and will be specified in the next phase of the project.

The indicators

Nr.	Independence criterion	Maximum Points	Source					
ı	Appointment of the Governing Body and Board Members							
		1						
1	The governing body is comprised of multiple persons	1	Collection of Laws					
1.1r	(R) The agency's head does not participate in the	0,33 R	Collection of Laws					
	election of board members							
1.2r	(R) The agency's head cannot hold a position on the	0,33 R	Collection of Laws					
	board							
1.3r	(R) Board members are not employees of the agency	0,33 R	Collection of Laws					
2 ¹	Board members are not the heads of other public	1 R	Collection of Laws					
	authorities							
2 /	(R) Members of the governing body are not replaced	0,5 / 0,38 R	Collection of Laws					
2.1	simultaneously							
2.2	(R) Board members are not replaced simultaneously	0,13 R	Collection of Laws					
3	Members of the governing body are appointed in	0,5	Collection of Laws					
	various ways (by different authorities)							
4 /	(R) The head of the agency is nominated by a	1 / 0,75 R	Collection of Laws					
4.1	collective body							
4.2	Board members are nominated by a collective body	0,25 R	Collection of Laws					
5/	(R) Members of the governing body are elected by	1 / 0,75 R	Collection of Laws					
5.1	multiple actors							
5.2	(R) Board members are elected by multiple actors	0,25 R	Collection of Laws					







6.1	Candidates for the governing body position must	0,33	Collection of Laws
	meet specific education requirements		
6.2	Candidates for the governing body position must	0,33	Collection of Laws
	meet specific work experience requirements		
6.3	Candidates for the governing body position must		Collection of Laws
	meet specific requirements regarding their political	0,33	
	independence		
7	Members of the governing body are not allowed to	1	Collection of Laws
	hold certain offices after their office term ends		
8	Term of office of members of the governing body is	1	Collection of Laws
	limited		
9	The appointment is only renewable once	1	Collection of Laws
10	Public hearing is part of the selection process for	1	Collection of Laws
	governing body positions		
11	CVs of members of the governing body are publicly	1	Official website of the agency,
	available		google search, or official
			information request
12	Members of the governing body were not politically	1	Official website of the agency,
	active 2 years prior to being appointed		google search, or official
			information request
П	Dismissal of Appointees		
	Dismissal of agency's head is possible for reasons	3 / 2,25 R	
	related to policy, at regulator's discretion, if the		
1	appointed head of agency has been convicted of a		Collection of Laws
	crime or if he no longer meets the political		
	independence criteria		
1.1/	(R) Dismissal of agency's head is only possible for	1 / 0,75 R	
1.1r	reasons related to policy		Collection of Laws
1.2/	(R) Dismissal of agency's head is only possible at	2 / 1,5 R	
1.2r	regulator's discretion		Collection of Laws
	(R) Dismissal of agency's head is only possible if the	3 / 2,25 R	
1.3/	appointed head of agency has been convicted of a		Collection of Laws
1.3r	crime or if he no longer meets a political		
	independence criterion		
1r	Dismissal of a board member requires justification	0,75 R	Collection of Laws
2 /	(R) Dismissal of the agency's head must be carried	1 / 0,75 R	Collection of Laws
2.1	out by multiple authorities		
2.2	Dismissal of a board member must be carried out by	0,25 R	Collection of Laws
	multiple authorities		
3 /	Dismissal of the agency's head must be carried out by	1 / 0,75 R	Collection of Laws
3.1	greater number of votes than it was at his		
J.1	6		







	and the same		T
2.2	appointment	0.25.5	Calleating of Land
3.2	Dismissal of a board member must be carried out by	0,25 R	Collection of Laws
	greater number of votes than it was at his		
	appointment		
III	Sovereignty and Constitutional Basis		
1.1	The agency has a legal basis in the Constitution of the	0,4	Constitution of the Republic of
	Republic of Moldova	٠,١	Moldova
1.2	Agency's head appointment procedure is defined in	0,2	Constitution of the Republic of
	the Constitution of the Republic of Moldova	-,_	Moldova
1.3	Agency's head deputy appointment procedure is	0,2	Constitution of the Republic of
	defined in the Constitution of the Republic of	-,_	Moldova
	Moldova		
1.4	Constitutional majority is required for the election of	0,4	Constitution of the Republic of
	the agency's head or a member of the body	,	Moldova
1.5	Formation of the agency's budget is defined in the	0,4	Constitution of the Republic of
	Constitution of the Republic of Moldova	,	Moldova .
1.6	Agency's competencies are defined in the	0,4	Constitution of the Republic of
	Constitution of the Republic of Moldova	-	Moldova
	The agency is established outside of direct	1	
2	government influence (the agency is not one of		Collection of Laws
	central government bodies)		
3	The agency is sovereign in its decisions	1	Collection of Laws
4	Agency's budget is separately appointed within the	1	Collection of Laws
	state budget		
5	The agency is funded from multiple sources	1	Collection of Laws
	(excluding EU funds)		
6	The agency has the authority to decide on its own	1	Collection of Laws
	internal organisation		
7	Agency's head is not appointed solely by government	1	Collection of Laws
8	The agency is solely responsible for staffing its own	1	Collection of Laws
	employees and filling its own managerial positions		
IV	Income of Appointees and Employees		
1.1 /	(R) Average gross monthly wage of agency's head	2 / 1,5 R	Official information request,
1.1r		-	Annual report
1.2 /	(R) Average gross monthly wage of agency's head	1 / 0,75 R	Official information request,
1.2r	deputy		Annual report
1.3 /	(R) Average gross monthly wage of high ranking	3 / 2,25 R	Official information request,
1.3r	officers		Annual report
1r	(R) Average gross monthly wage of board members	0,75 R	Official information request,
			Annual report







2	Average gross monthly wage of employees	2	Official information request,
			Annual report

Note: R, r – Valid for agencies with management boards

The list of institutions

	Public authorities with functions of regulation, control, provision of services and in the field of judicial activity	Web address
	(hereinafter - public entities)	
1	Ombudsman	www.ombudsman.md
2	National Agency for Regulation in Energy	www.anre.md
3	National Agency for Food Safety	www.ansa.gov.md
4	Public Procurement Agency	www.tender.gov.md
5	National Agency for Car Transport	www.anta.gov.md
6	Public Property Agency	www.app.gov.md
7	Public Services Agency	www.asp.gov.md
8	National Integrity Authority	www.ani.md
9	National Bank of Moldova	www.bnm.md
10	National Bureau of Statistics	www.statistica.gov.md
11	National Anticorruption Center	www.cna.md
12	Constitutional Court	www.constcourt.md
13	National House of Social Insurance	www.cnas.md
14	National Medical Insurance Company	www.cnam.md
15	Broadcasting Council	www.audiovizual.md
16	Competition Council	www.competition.md
17	Superior Council of Magistracy	www.csm.md
18	National Commission of the Financial Market	www.cnpf.md
19	The Court of Accounts	www.ccrm.md
20	The company "Teleradio - Moldova"	www.trm.md
21	General Inspectorate of Police	www.politie.md
22	Financial Inspection	www.if.gov.md
23	Procuratorate	www.procuratura.md
24	The State Tax Service	www.fisc.md
25	Customs Service	www.customs.gov.md